

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/677,284	GOODMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark E. Fejer(#34,817).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2004

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 1-10

Claims discussed:
 1, 2, 3, and 9


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Describing the difference between the invention and coarse and fine phase acquisition.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)